Interview Summary

Application No. 09/116,147

Applicant(s)

Liuyang Yang

V Summary Examiner

Y. Lee

Art Unit 2613

(A) V.	40)
(1) <u>Y. Lee</u>	
(2) Mr. Steve Neal	
Date of Interview	_
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 7, and 13	
Identification of prior art discussed: Puri et al (6,148,026)	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached	d. g) 🛛 was not reached. h) 🗌 N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:	
Mr. Neal asserts that Puri et al does not skip any steps du	ring an encoding pass. However, Examiner maintains that since
	e. unnecessary), all of the enhancement encoding steps are
skipped (i.e. unncessary to be encoded) when video data	are passed through the Base Layer Encoder only.
	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable i
i) 🗵 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Mi already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE ord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is	
an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required